

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

IRA S. NATHAN,
Plaintiffs,
v.
SERGE MATTA, et al.,
Defendants.

Lead Case No. 16CV32458
Assigned to Hon. Jerry B. Hodson

**ORDER AUTHORIZING
DISTRIBUTION OF NET
SETTLEMENT FUNDS**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed on a pro rata basis to the Settlement Payment Recipients identified in Exhibits B-1 and B-2 to the Declaration of Stephanie Amin-Giwner in Support of Motion for Distribution of Net Settlement Fund, at the direction of Class Counsel, pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement (the “Stipulation”) and the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement that was distributed to the Class pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice (the “Preliminary Approval Order”).

2. Any Class Member asserting any rejected or subsequently filed claims are finally and forever barred from the date of this Order.


3. The Court finds that the administration of the Settlement and proposed distribution of the Net Settlement Fund comply with the terms of the Stipulation and the Plan of Allocation and that all persons involved in the review, verification, calculation, tabulation, or any other aspect of the processing of the claims submitted herein, or otherwise involved in the administration or

1 taxation of the Net Settlement Fund or Escrow Accounts are released and discharged from any and
2 all claims arising out of such involvement, and all Settlement Class members are barred from
3 making any further claims against the Net Settlement Fund or the Released Parties beyond the
4 amount allocated to them pursuant to this Order.

5 4. The Court approves Epiq's administrative determinations accepting and rejecting
6 the Proofs of Claim received on or before May 20, 2020 and the Court approves the proposed
7 Distribution Plan. The Court further approves the balance of Epiq's fees and expenses in the
8 amount of \$31,749.19, as reflected on the invoice attached to the declaration of Stephanie Amin-
9 Gwerner as Exhibit C, for payment from the Net Settlement Fund.

10 **APPROVED AND SO ORDERED.**

Signed: 6/8/2020 09:07 AM



Circuit Court Judge Jerry B. Hodson

17 SUBMITTED BY:

18 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING
19 & SHLACHTER P.C.

20 By: s/Timothy S. DeJong
21 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No: 940662

22 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500
23 Portland, OR 97204
24 Telephone: (503) 227-1600
25 Email: tdejong@stollberne.com

26 *Liaison Counsel for the Class*

1 BLOCK & LEVITON LLP

2 Jason M. Leviton (*pro hac vice*)

3 Joel A. Fleming (*pro hac vice*)

4 260 Franklin Street, Suite 1860

5 Boston, MA 02110

6 Telephone: 617-398-5600

7 jason@blockesq.com

8 joel@blockesq.com

9 ANDREWS & SPRINGER LLC

10 Peter B. Andrews (*pro hac vice*)

11 Craig J. Springer (*pro hac vice*)

12 David M. Sborz (*pro hac vice*)

13 3801 Kennett Pike

14 Building C, Suite 305

15 Wilmington, DE 19807

16 Telephone: 302-504-4957

17 *Class Counsel*

1 **UTCR 5.100(2) CERTIFICATE OF READINESS**

2 I hereby certify that the foregoing proposed order is ready for judicial signature because:

3 **I.** Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated
4 to the order or judgment, as shown by each opposing party's signature on the document
5 being submitted.

6 **II.** Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has approved the
7 order or judgment, as shown by signature on the document being submitted or by written
8 confirmation of approval sent to me.

9 **III.** I have served a copy of this order or judgment on all parties entitled to service
10 and:

11 **A.** No objection has been served on me.

12 **B.** I received objections that I could not resolve with the opposing party
13 despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I
14 received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.

15 **C.** After conferring about objections, [role and name of opposing party]
16 agreed to independently file any remaining objection.

17 **IV.** The relief sought is against an opposing party who has been found in default.

18 **V.** An order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment.

19 **VI.** Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute,
20 rule, or otherwise.

21 **VII.** This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and
22 notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section as required by
23 subsection (4) of this rule.

24 **VIII.** Other: _____.

25 DATED this 29th day of May, 2020.

26 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C.

By: s/Timothy S. DeJong
Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662
tdejong@stollberne.com

209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97204

Liaison Counsel for the Class

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

BLOCK & LEVITON LLP
Jason M. Leviton (*pro hac vice*)
Joel A. Fleming (*pro hac vice*)
260 Franklin Street, Suite 1860
Boston, MA 02110
Ph: 617-398-5600
jason@blockesq.com
joel@blockesq.com

ANDREWS & SPRINGER LLC
Peter B. Andrews (*pro hac vice*)
Craig J. Springer (*pro hac vice*)
David M. Sborz (*pro hac vice*)
3801 Kennett Pike
Building C, Suite 305
Wilmington, DE 19807
Telephone: 302-504-4957

Class Counsel